

# **Redefining hygiene in practice: addressing emerging health risks in home microecologies**

Rachael Wakefield-Rann

Institute for Sustainable Futures

University of Technology Sydney

Thesis Submitted for the Doctor of Philosophy in Sustainable Futures

2019

## **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I, Rachael Wakefield-Rann declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in Sustainable Futures, in the Institute for Sustainable Futures at the University of Technology Sydney. This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:      Production Note:  
                    Signature removed  
                    prior to publication.

Date: 11.4.2019

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, and most importantly, I would like to thank my two supervisors, Associate Professor Dena Fam and Dr Susan Stewart, for being such dedicated and supportive mentors throughout this project. In particular, I want to thank Dena for helping me find opportunities to connect my research with the world beyond academia, and for guidance through the messiness of transdisciplinary research. Susan, I would especially like to thank for never letting me leave a logical flaw uninterrogated, and a willingness to sit with me for hours to meticulously disentangle theoretical nuances. In addition to their insights, careful challenges, time and patience, Dena and Susan both role model thoughtful and practical scholars who care deeply about creating a better world.

I wholeheartedly thank my research participants for warmly welcoming me into their homes with cups of tea and tours of the most intimate and mundane details of their domestic lives. This research would not have been possible without their willingness, time, generosity and candidness. I hope that the outcomes of my research may someday feed back and help to ease the complex and often stressful dynamics involved in negotiating environmental health risks in the home.

Thank you to those who have reviewed my work and provided valuable feedback at crucial junctures, particularly Associate Professor Yolande Strengers, Dr Abby Mellick Lopes and Dr Irena Connon. I have also been lucky enough to have the articles in this thesis peer-reviewed by a number of generous and attentive scholars. The constructive feedback I received from these individuals challenged and transformed my thinking and greatly expanded the scope of ideas I engaged with.

A massive thank you to Dr Rob Dyball, Editor of the *Human Ecology Review*, for giving me the opportunity to very indulgently put together a special issue of the journal based around issues that emerged while researching this thesis. This special issue helped facilitate a

stimulating and generative conversation across disciplinary boundaries that greatly contributed to my thinking.

There are also a number of people involved in university administration who have gone beyond what is required. I would first like to acknowledge the stellar HDR program at the Institute for Sustainable Futures, run by Professor Chris Riedy and Professor Jason Prior, and my fellow HDR students. The retreats, dinners, learning modules and GAS groups all provided essential spaces for sharing, learning, empathising and having fun. The support of Suzanne Cronan within this program, and all the staff at the Graduate Research School and UTS Library, have also been extremely valuable. Thank you also to John Revington who provided copy-editing services for the final draft of this thesis.

I also received essential professional and financial support for this project. At both the Institute for Sustainable Futures and the Northern Beaches Council I benefited from understanding and encouraging work environments—I am especially grateful to Natasha Schultz, Henry Wong, Steve Clements, and the team in ‘Alcatraz’ in the case of the latter. Also, importantly, I thank the Australian Government Research Training Program for their scholarship.

I am fortunate to have many smart and supportive friends, who always know what to ask (and what not to) and when a yoga retreat is required. Special thanks to Alice Rumble and Tamryn Liddell, and Federico Davila for their many offers to read and talk through the always-exhilarating topic of hygiene practices.

Finally, thank you to my family for your constant support, interest and perspective. There is no better occasion than a family BBQ for a PhD candidate to test if they can explain what they are doing and why they are bothering. In particular, Tom Lee, I’m very fortuitous to have a partner that can offer support in the form of an in-depth writing critique, a sparring session, or handmade pasta, depending on the demands of the day. And mum, Corrine Stevens, who in

addition to constant and unconditional encouragement, provided invaluable copy-editing of the final manuscript.

In the spirit of this more-than-human research, I feel like I should acknowledge a number of the important non-humans that have contributed immeasurably to my journey over the past three and a half years, so a special shout out to the Bronte Bogey Hole, my boxing gloves, Pana chocolate, my potted veggie garden and my bath.

In line with the Institute for Sustainable Futures guidelines, this thesis is a Thesis by Compilation, comprised of both traditional chapters and published journal articles. A list of the articles included in this thesis are included below, followed by a list of other relevant journal publications, reports and conference presentations, and public media.

## PUBLICATIONS INCLUDED IN THESIS BY COMPILATION

1. Wakefield-Rann, R., Fam, D., & Stewart, S. (2018). Routine exposure: social practices and environmental health risks in the home. *Social Theory & Health*, 1-18.
2. Wakefield-Rann, R., Fam, D., & Stewart, S. (in press) Microbes, chemicals and the health of homes: integrating theories to account for more-than-human entanglements, *BioSocieties*
3. Wakefield-Rann, R., Fam, D., & Stewart, S. (2018). "It's Just a Never-Ending Battle": The Role of Modern Hygiene Ideals and the Dynamics of Everyday Life in Constructing Indoor Ecologies. *Human Ecology Review*, 24(2), 61.
4. Wakefield-Rann, R. (2017). More Than Skin Deep: A Service Design Approach to Making the Luxury Personal Care Industry More Sustainable. In *Sustainable Management of Luxury* (pp. 211-231). Springer, Singapore.
5. Wakefield-Rann, R., Fam, D., & Stewart, S. (2018). "Initiating a Transdisciplinary Conversation to Improve Indoor Ecologies. *Human Ecology Review*, 24(2), 61.

## OTHER RELEVANT PUBLICATIONS

Lee, T., & Wakefield-Rann, R. (2018). Design Philosophy and Poetic Thinking: Peter Sloterdijk's Metaphorical Explorations of the Interior. *Human Ecology Review*, 24(2), 153.

## RELEVANT INDUSTRY REPORTS

**Report:** Mellick Lopes, A., Sofoulis, Z., **Wakefield-Rann, R.**, & Yu, Y. (2017). 'Exploring the Practices of Mandarin-speaking Water Drinkers: Research Conducted as Part of the Collaborative Research Project Understanding the Drivers of Public Trust in Sydney Water.'

**Report:** Dubash, J., **Wakefield-Rann, R.**, Prentice, E., Giurco, D., and Latimer, G. (2018): Chemical Management for Consumer Products – Company Evaluations for Stewart Investors. Institute for Sustainable Futures, UTS (publication awaiting outcome of final negotiations with companies assessed).

## RELEVANT ONLINE PUBLICATIONS

**Wakefield-Rann, R.** (2018) 'Chemical Showers: How Daily Routines Structure Our Exposures to Toxicants.' *Toxic Bodies series*. Engagement: blog of the Anthropology and Environment Society, A Section of the American Anthropological Association:  
<https://aesengagement.wordpress.com/2017/11/21/chemical-showers-how-daily-routines-structure-our-exposures-to-toxicants/>

## RELEVANT MEDIA INTERVIEWS

### TELEVISION

**Television interview for The Project New Zealand**, focused on chemicals in consumer products in the home, particularly toys, aired on 12/10/2018

### PRINT MEDIA

**Interviewed for story on the health and environmental sustainability challenges personal care companies are facing when designing their products.** By Alejandra Borunda for National Geographic (awaiting publication).

**'How environmentally friendly are 'eco' bath and cleaning products?'** By [Jo Khan](#) for ABC Science: <https://www.abc.net.au/news/science/2018-08-08/how-environmentally-friendly-are-eco-household-products/10017734> 8/8/2018

**'Smiggle, Kmart refuse to pull 'harmful' squishies toys from shelves despite cancer risk'** By [Alana Mitchelson](#) for The New Daily:  
<https://thenewdaily.com.au/money/consumer/2018/09/03/squishies-smiggle-kmart/>  
3/9/2018

## DECLARATION BY CO-AUTHORS

In the case of Paper 1, the undersigned agree that the nature and extent of the contributions to the work was as follows:

Co-author	Nature of contribution	Extent of contribution (%)	Signature	Date
<b>Rachael Wakefield-Rann</b>	Lead researcher and author	90	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	11.4.19
<b>Dena Fam</b>	Reviewer	5	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	11.4.19
<b>Susan Stewart</b>	Reviewer	5	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	11.4.19

In the case of Paper 2, the undersigned agree that the nature and extent of the contributions to the work was as follows:

Co-author	Nature of contribution	Extent of contribution (%)	Signature	Date
<b>Rachael Wakefield-Rann</b>	Lead researcher and author	90	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	11.4.19
<b>Dena Fam</b>	Reviewer	5	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	11.4.19
<b>Susan Stewart</b>	Reviewer	5	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	11.4.19

In the case of Paper 3, the undersigned agree that the nature and extent of the contributions to the work was as follows:

Co-author	Nature of contribution	Extent of contribution (%)	Signature	Date
<b>Rachael Wakefield-Rann</b>	Lead researcher and author	90	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	11.4.19
<b>Dena Fam</b>	Reviewer	5	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	11.4.19



<b>Susan Stewart</b>	Reviewer	<b>5</b>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<b>11.4.19</b>
----------------------	----------	----------	--	----------------

In the case of Paper 5, the undersigned agree that the nature and extent of the contributions to the work was as follows:

<b>Co-author</b>	<b>Nature of contribution</b>	<b>Extent of contribution (%)</b>	<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
<b>Rachael Wakefield-Rann</b>	Lead researcher and author	93	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<b>11.4.19</b>
<b>Dena Fam</b>	Reviewer	<b>7</b>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<b>11.4.19</b>

## PREFACE

This thesis is written through a commitment to social and environmental justice and change. At the heart of my doctoral (and preceding) research has been a drive to understand the ways that the socio-material arrangements of everyday life produce different patterns of resource consumption and disposal, and their consequent social and ecological impacts. During my Honours research – examining how different epistemological approaches across the social sciences often lead to radically different propositions for interventions to address the problem of unsustainable clothing consumption rates—I became interested in Social Practice Theories and their capacity to shed light on ‘inconspicuous consumption’: the invisible acts of consumption embedded in everyday practices, associated with issues of infrastructure, interdependence and normal standards (Shove and Warde, 2002a).

My interest in inconspicuous consumption grew through my work outside of academia working in and with the waste industry, as a researcher, community educator, local government officer (devising waste policy and programs), and as a charity ‘food rescue’ driver. Throughout this period, I became increasingly interested in how communities are made responsible for making changes that are not well enabled, if at all, by the socio-material

conditions in which they are operating. The importance of how responsibility becomes individualised in the context of systems that deliberately work to make certain entities imperceptible, became a central concern for me. Paradoxically, it seemed, a successful waste management system is defined by the extent to which it is invisible to those using it, yet a successful citizen-consumer operating within this system is expected to maintain an active awareness of all the downstream impacts of their consumption choices as they are making them.

It is via this experiential and theoretical grounding that the trajectory of this doctoral thesis was formed. In particular, I perceived a need to further explore the ways that certain agents that are crucial to human and broader planetary health are made imperceptible by socio-material systems. On this basis, I originally proposed to conduct my doctoral research on the issue of plastic waste associated with the global food system. I also initially began this research in a School of Design. Although my background is broadly in Political Science, Geography and Human Ecology (BA/BSc), I chose design for two primary reasons. First, design plays a crucial role in populating the world with services and materials, which play a significant role in how resources are used and what practices are made possible. The second follows Latour's observation that the meaning of design has grown in comprehension and extension. To consider something in terms of design is to acknowledge that particular values and skills and technologies have gone into its creation, "The more objects are turned into things – that is, the more matters of facts[sic] are turned into matters of concern – the more they are rendered into objects of design through and through." (Latour, 2008: 2)

As I delved deeper into this subject, I became aware of a micro-scale of activity and interaction that is transforming ecosystems and bodies in unprecedented ways that belie extant definitions of toxicity and harm. The post-industrial chemicals that have come to populate the everyday lives of all living things, at pace since World War II, are participating in and transforming bodies in unprecedented ways: yet they have not been made broadly perceptible by our socio-material conditions. Simultaneously, I noticed parallels with the

ways that invisible microorganisms have been made perceptible via socio-material conditions that make certain types of pathogenic relations visible, while obscuring essential mutualistic relations between human, microbial and ecological systems. While these remain matters deeply embedded within an expanded conception of design, other epistemological traditions have also been crucial in determining the objects and boundaries of concern that have made some entities, risks and forms of relationality more perceptible than others.

My project was consequently reformulated to examine (in the broadest sense) how contending 'regimes of perceptibility' (Murphy, 2006) shape everyday socio-material conditions that come to shape human bodies, and the more-than-human ecologies we are embedded within. Specifically, I am interested in the socio-material dynamics that constitute indoor ecologies; the environments where most humans in industrialised nations now spend the majority of their lives. Questions of relations at the molecular scale go beyond what is required to adequately understand contemporary risks to human health. They reach back to broader questions of what we believe our world is made of and how our bodies relate to and are mutually constituted by the broader more-than-human ecologies we are part of.

The questions inherent to this project exceed and problematise the boundaries and domains of concern that demarcate traditional disciplinary boundaries. As a result, in the second year of my research I decided to move from the School of Design to the Institute for Sustainable Futures; an institute that specialises in transdisciplinary approaches to addressing complex socio-ecological issues. This thesis does not comfortably conform to the conventions of any single discipline but amalgamates conventions based on the different values they bring to the exploration of this complex cross-disciplinary topic. Moreover, in recognition of the need to make explicit and begin to bridge divergent disciplinary approaches, I also made the unconventional decision to consider the publications included in this thesis as an opportunity to target and connect different disciplinary audiences. Finding new ways to connect diverse knowledge traditions and approaches will continue to be my objective, building on the outcomes of this research into the future.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	XI
FIGURES AND TABLES.....	XIII
GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS .....	XIII
ABSTRACT .....	XVI
<b>1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>16</b>
1.1 AN EMERGING INDOOR ECOLOGY .....	16
1.2 INDOOR MICROECOLOGIES AS A WICKED PROBLEM .....	19
1.3 RESEARCH FOCUS: HOME ECOLOGIES AND HYGIENE PRACTICES .....	22
1.4 RESEARCH PURPOSE .....	25
1.5 RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	28
1.6 RESEARCH SCOPE AND BOUNDARY CRITIQUE .....	29
1.7 THESIS OVERVIEW.....	39
<b>2 HISTORICAL COEVOLUTION: HYGIENE AND INDOOR ECOLOGIES .....</b>	<b>47</b>
2.1 CHANGING INDOOR ECOLOGIES AND MODERN HYGIENE .....	48
2.2 MICROBIAL ECOLOGIES AND HEALTH .....	50
2.3 CHEMICAL RISKS IN THE HOME.....	55
2.4 THE EVOLUTION OF HYGIENIC HOMES AND BODIES.....	59
2.5 HYGIENE AS A QUESTION OF BOUNDARIES .....	65
2.6 MICROBES BREACHING BOUNDARIES.....	65
2.7 TOXICANTS CHALLENGING BOUNDARIES.....	70
2.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	73
<b>3 LITERATURE REVIEW: ACCOUNTING FOR EPISTEMIC DIVERSITY IN INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>75</b>
3.1 MICROBIOLOGY OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT .....	77
3.2 EXPOSURE SCIENCE.....	82
3.3 A SOCIAL SCIENTIFIC LENS ON EXPOSURE RESEARCH .....	87
3.4 SOCIAL PRACTICE RESEARCH IN DOMESTIC SUSTAINABILITY .....	90
3.5 MULTISPECIES ETHNOGRAPHY AND CHEMO-ETHNOGRAPHY.....	94
3.6 CONCLUSIONS.....	96
<b>4 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: THEORISING MULTISPECIES INTERACTIONS WITHIN SOCIAL PRACTICES .....</b>	<b>99</b>
4.1 THEORIES FOR MORE-THAN-HUMAN PRACTICES .....	99
4.2 SOCIAL PRACTICE THEORIES.....	102
4.3 MULTISPECIES ETHNOGRAPHY AND CHEMO-ETHNOGRAPHY.....	111
4.4 IMPLICATIONS FOR METHODOLOGY.....	115
4.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	117
<b>5 METHODOLOGY: INVESTIGATING MORE-THAN-HUMAN HYGIENE PRACTICES.....</b>	<b>119</b>
5.1 RESEARCH APPROACH .....	119
5.2 RESEARCH DESIGN .....	120
5.3 METHODS .....	121
5.4 DATA ANALYSIS.....	137
5.5 REFLECTION ON METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH.....	141
<b>INTRODUCTION TO PAPERS .....</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>PAPER 1: ROUTINE EXPOSURE: SOCIAL PRACTICES AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH.....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>PAPER 2: MICROBES, CHEMICALS AND THE HEALTH OF HOMES: INTEGRATING THEORIES TO ACCOUNT FOR MORE-THAN-HUMAN ENTANGLEMENTS .....</b>	<b>177</b>

<b>PAPER 3: 'IT'S JUST A NEVER-ENDING BATTLE': THE ROLE OF MODERN HYGIENE IDEALS AND THE DYNAMICS OF EVERYDAY LIFE IN CONSTRUCTING INDOOR ECOLOGIES .....</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>PAPER 4: MORE THAN SKIN DEEP: A SERVICE DESIGN APPROACH TO CREATING MORE SUSTAINABLE PERSONAL CARE.....</b>	<b>245</b>
<b>6      TOWARDS HEALTHIER HOME ECOLOGIES: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS .....</b>	<b>280</b>
6.1      KEY CONCLUSIONS.....	281
6.2      RECOMMENDATIONS .....	289
<b>PAPER 5: INITIATING A TRANSDISCIPLINARY CONVERSATION TO IMPROVE INDOOR ECOLOGIES (EDITORIAL) .....</b>	<b>292</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>334</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: PARTICIPANT INFORMATION SHEET.....</b>	<b>356</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: PARTICIPANT CONSENT FORM .....</b>	<b>358</b>
<b>APPENDIX C: RECRUITMENT FLYER.....</b>	<b>359</b>
<b>APPENDIX D: ACTIVITY DIARIES .....</b>	<b>360</b>
<b>APPENDIX E: DISAGGREGATED NVIVO CODES IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER.....</b>	<b>362</b>

## FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1: Greater Sydney	28
Figure 2: Boundaries of the research scope	32
Figure 3: ‘Hunting Microbes’ from Jean Marc Cote’s series (circa 1900)	49
Figure 4: 1968 Ad for Lysol Spray Disinfectant	60
Figure 5: Images of Villa Church, France (1928-1929) by Le Corbusier	61
Figure 6: Process of Endocrine Disrupting Chemical attaching	71
Figure 7: Examples of activity diary cover and ipage filled in by participant	123
Figure 8: Illustrative examples of photographs	131
Figure 9: Areas of sydney where participants reside	135
Table 1: Summary of included publications	42
Table 2: Participant characteristics	136

## GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATIONS	
<b>BPA</b>	Bisphenol A
<b>BPF</b>	Bisphenol F
<b>BPS</b>	Bisphenol S
<b>EDC</b>	endocrine disrupting chemical
<b>PFAS</b>	Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances
<b>PCP</b>	personal care product
<b>PVC</b>	polyvinyl chloride

GLOSSARY	
Key Terms	Definition
<b>cleaning practices</b>	Cleaning practices include any act that involves the removal of unwanted substances, such as dirt, or other substances perceived to be unsafe. They also include preventative acts intended to mitigate against the development or spread of pathogens or other unwanted substances.
<b>hygiene</b>	<p>Hygiene is defined in the Oxford English Dictionary (2016) as the ‘Conditions or practices conducive to maintaining health and preventing disease, especially through cleanliness’.</p> <p>However, a central argument and focus of this thesis is how hygiene comes to encompass different practices and entities at a given place and time based on cultural, environmental and historical contingencies. For the purposes of this thesis, hygiene and hygiene practices are consequently taken to mean the practices performed wholly, or in part, to maintain health and prevent disease in an environment. This definition encompasses practices such as cleaning a bathroom, but also aspects of meal preparation and personal care, such as bathing, which are based on certain ideas, standards and materials that guide normative conceptions of how cleanliness is supposed to be maintained. Hygiene considerations are also embedded in other practices, such as cooking, which is often accompanied by a series of actions, such as handwashing or wiping benches, that are intended explicitly or implicitly to prevent disease.</p>
<b>micro-species</b>	Micro-species is a category developed for the purposes of this thesis to challenge existing species ontology and collectively theorise microorganisms and post-industrial chemicals. A complete description and justification for the use of this term is included in Paper 2.
<b>microbiome</b>	In the literature microbiome most commonly refers to the collective genetic material of microbiota, but has also commonly been used to refer to the ecology of the microbiota (Turnbaugh et al., 2007)
<b>microbiota</b>	The entire collection of microbes in a certain environment (Turnbaugh et al., 2007)
<b>microecology</b>	Within the field of microbiology, a microecology refers to the ecology of a micro-habitat. Microbial ecologists study the interactions of microorganisms with their environments, with each other, and with plant and animal species (O'Malley, 2016). For the purposes of this thesis, ‘microecology’ refers to both microbial and non-living entities, such as chemical pollutants, that make up a micro-habitat.

<b>microorganism/ microbe</b>	Microbes are single or multicellular microscopic organisms. They include viruses, bacteria, protists, archae, some fungi and algae (Crespi, 2001)
<b>more-than-human</b>	<p>More-than-human refers to a mode of thinking and theorising about interactions between humans and non-humans that spans multiple disciplines, including human and cultural geography, science and technology studies (STS), environmental humanities, post-humanist sociology, anthropology and others (Maller, 2018).</p> <p>Although defined heterogeneously across these disciplines, more-than-human approaches generally serve to destabilise ways of theorising categories such as materiality, agency, relationality and causality. They do this by drawing focus away from the intentions and agency of humans, to examine the world through the dynamic relations that emerge through complex interactions between multiple human and non-human agents (Phillips, 2014, Whatmore, 2006). More context for these theories is provided in Chapter 4.</p>
<b>post-industrial chemicals</b>	Post-industrial chemicals is a term used to refer to classes of human-synthesised chemicals that have primarily been developed and associated with industrial processes but are now used in a multitude of products and processes. These chemicals have unique properties that mean they have been designated as pollutants in most of the world's ecosystems and animal bodies. Examples of these chemical classes include highly fluorinated chemicals, antimicrobials, bisphenols and phthalates, some solvents, some metals and flame retardants (GSPI, 2016). 'Post-industrial chemicals' are also commonly referred to as anthropogenic or post-natural chemicals (Altman et al., 2008, Liboiron et al., 2018).
<b>social practices</b>	Drawing on social practice theories, 'social practice' is used here to refer to the repeated performance of certain groupings of the meanings, materials and bodily actions that comprise everyday life.
<b>sub-optimal environment</b>	A sub-optimal environment is defined here as an environment that affords sub-optimal conditions for human health based on the research cited into the types of environmental conditions that are supportive of human health. In particular, the health consequences of microbial and post-industrial chemical exposures are considered.



## ABSTRACT

I argue in this thesis that dominant definitions and practices of hygiene in Australia must be updated to account for emerging indoor health risks at the micro-scale. Since World War II, new health risks have emerged in indoor environments that have both transformed and challenged notions of environmental health centred on pathogenic germs. The composition of home spaces, particularly in urban areas of developed nations, have been fundamentally altered by the introduction of post-industrial chemicals in everyday products and building materials. Further, the changing nature of building design, cleaning practices and urban life has altered the ‘microbiomes’ of homes, contributing to a rise in certain types of immune system conditions and resistance to antibiotics.

This thesis is concerned with if and how culturally contingent definitions of hygiene embedded in everyday practices contribute to these emerging health risks in the indoor ecologies of homes. This concern is based on the premise that underpinning operative definitions and practices of hygiene are particular conceptions of the human body and how it interacts with its environment.

I propose that to begin to address these health risks, the everyday practices that are based on limited notions of health and disease must be interrogated. To do this I develop and apply a qualitative research approach that integrates elements of multiple more-than-human research approaches – social practice theory, multispecies ethnography and chemo-ethnography – to investigate how microbes and post-industrial chemicals manifest physically and symbolically in everyday domestic hygiene practices. This approach informed fieldwork, conducted in Sydney, Australia, which examined the home hygiene practices of parents with children under five years old.

Findings from this research highlight some of the ways that chemicals and microbes are assumed to operate in everyday domestic practices. They also provide important insights into

the dynamics of everyday life that influence how hygiene is performed in the home and what risks may consequently manifest. In addition to providing insights into the dynamics of practice that may be contributing to maladaptive indoor home ecologies, this research also points to an urgent need for greater knowledge integration across disciplines concerned with different social and material aspects of indoor environmental health